

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/777,108 02/05/2001		02/05/2001	Poong Hyun Seong	10061-025US (PO2000-027US	3943	
570	7590	10/02/2003	EXAMINER			
		USS HAUER & F	JARRETT, I	JARRETT, RYAN A		
ONE COM		EET, SUITE 2200	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-7013				2125 DATE MAILED: 10/02/2003		
•						

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
· •	, - -	09/777,108	_	SEONG ET AL.					
Office	Action Summary	Examiner	-	Art Unit					
		Ryan A. Jarrett		2125					
	ING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
Period for Reply			DIDE - 1401/TH	o) 50011					
THE MAILING D - Extensions of time in after SIX (6) MONTH - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within - Any reply received bearned patent term a	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The open the mailing date of this communication. Specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period on the set or extended period for reply will, by statute by the Office later than three months after the mailing djustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how within the statutory mir will apply and will expire a, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.				
Status	to communication(a) filed on 48	A							
	ve to communication(s) filed on 18 /		· 1						
	, —	nis action is non-fi							
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Clair	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,						
4)⊠ Claim(s)	1,5 and 7 is/are pending in the applic	cation.							
4a) Of the	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) _	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u>	Claim(s) <u>1,5 and 7</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) _	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
, — , , , –	are subject to restriction and/o	or election require	ment.						
Application Papers									
,—	cation is objected to by the Examine								
	g(s) filed on is/are: a) acce								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
,—	•	ammer.							
	.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	n priority under 3/	ELISC 8 110/o) (d) or (f)					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
· ·	a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
_	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
_	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledg	4) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
· —	anslation of the foreign language pro gment is made of a claim for domest								
Attachment(s)									
	es Cited (PTO-892) rson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	4)		r (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT					

"

Art Unit: 2125

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1, 5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The original specification does not disclose that the trip algorithm computer (TAC) receives the safety parameters "through said TGC". The original specification discloses on page 7 that the TAC receives plant operation parameters directly from the environmental neutron flux monitoring system, the remote stop panel, and the core protection calculation system.

The original specification does not disclose that the expected signal pattern is "to be input from said VAC by using the test signal position bit which is input through the VAC from the TGC". The original specification merely discloses that the expected signal pattern is based on the state of the reactor. Thus, the newly added subject matter cannot be inferred from the original disclosure.

A CIP should be filed if the Applicant would like the new matter to be considered.

Art Unit: 2125

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 5, and 7 are additionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being 4. unpatentable over Hager U.S. Patent No. 4,664,870. Hager discloses plant protection system in a nuclear power plant, comprising a test generating computer (TGC) for generating a test input for self-diagnosis, said test input being inserted between actual safety parameters as a test parameter and a test signal position bit indication position information of the test input (e.g. Fig. 2 #21, col. 6 lines 1-63); a trip algorithm computer (TAC) (e.g. Fig. 1 #5) for receiving the safety parameters via a plurality of measuring channels (e.g. Fig. 1 #3) which are physically and electrically isolated from each other and then comparing the safety parameters with predetermined limit values of the safety parameters to determine a trip state of the safety parameters, if there is a test input by said TGC (e.g. col. 3 lines 35-68); a voting algorithm computer (VAC) for receiving a trip sate of each of the safety parameters determined by said TAC in each of the channels, determining a final state of each of the safety parameters and then outputting the result (e.g. Fig. 1 #7, col. 4 lines 7-25); and a pattern recognition computer (PRC) for expecting a signal pattern to be input from said VAC based on the test input signal, comparing the expected signal pattern on a one to one basis with the result determined by the VAC, and then if the signal pattern and the result are not consistent, generating

Page 3

Art Unit: 2125

an output signal indicating whether or not any malfunctions were detected during the test (e.g. col. 3 lines 3-17, col. 6 lines 64 – col. 7 lines 6, claim 1).

Hager does not specifically disclose stopping the reactor if the comparison is not consistent. Hager does however disclose reporting malfunctions if the comparison is not consistent. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to stop the reactor if the comparison of Hager was not consistent in order to prevent the reported system malfunctions of Hager from damaging the system or causing harm.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2125

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to 6.

applicant's disclosure.

Wilson et al. U.S. Patent No. 4,584,165

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ryan A. Jarrett whose telephone number is (703) 308-

4739. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on (703) 308-0538. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for

regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

L.P.P.

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-

3900.

rai

September 23, 2003

LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 5